		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	arv	10/604,714	COOPER ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Shane Bomar	3672
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Abandoned</u>			
(1) <u>Shane Bomar</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Jeffery Daly</u> .		(4)	ļ
Date of Interview: 6 February 2006		Time: <u>9:30am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: None			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: I contacted Attorney Daly because it has been more than six months since the last Office Action was mailed on August 3, 2005. Mr. Daly stated that this application has been abandoned and there will be no response to the Office Action.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequence of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequence of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequence of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	ation. The eparate r	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)